How the CIA turns local

by Don Walsh

The Central Intellegince Agency as approached scientists and ngineers in the Rochester area sking them to inform on meet--gs they have had with Soviet or pviet block scientists.

Contacts, in most cases, were ade by a CIA representative perating out of an unlisted and nmarked Syracuse office. The gency maintains at least 20 such andestine offices in cities roughout the U.S.

The information was being athered for the agency's Direcrate of Science and Techblogy, a 1300 employee branch

of the agency with a budget of \$120 million.

Although none of the scientists contacted reported any suggestion of coercion or threats by the agent, an ex-intelligence agent told the Patriot that subtle pressure can be employed if necessarv.

And in New York City recently, an American scientist who has been called the "world's first space doctor," told how the CIA; burglarized his offices after he refused to spy on Russian scientists for them. (See related story on , general conditions abroad. page 8.)

One scientist contacted here admitted he was "a little troubled about the inquiry" and was unsure whether he would answer CIA inquiries if asked again.

The local scientists, who are connected with several companies and academic institutions. say the pattern of inquiry by the CIA has been the same.

While some scientists are reported to have refused to talk with the CIA, others have, often after initial reservation, cooperated freely.

The scientists were all contacted after having attended an international conference of their associates, sometimes herein the U.S., but more often overseas. The CIA inquiry pertained to Soviet or iron curtain country scientists who were also at the meeting.

None of the local scientists known to have cooperated with the CIA works on classified or national security related scientific problems.

While the local scientists don't work on national security quesstions, the CIA questions have been directed towards trying to -ascertain the foreign nations' capabilities in areas of possible military significance.

Most of the companies and institutions involved appeared

willing to be co-operative, if aloof, to CIA inquiries. None wanted to discuss in any detail their relationship with the agency.

Typical was the Eastman Kodak Company whose spokesperson told the Patriot that "companies with operations outside the U.S. are called upon from time to time by various government agencies to provide information about

'Insofar as we are able, we respond to such requests, including those which come to us occasionally from the CIA. Aside from that, we have no further ¿ omment."

At Xerox, a representative told the Patriot that the company would "co-operate/with any legal: request from a government agency and would encourage our employees to do the same.

The University of Rochester said it would not become involved in individual faculty decisions.

None of the companies or institutions would say they had knowledge of any of their employees being approached by the CIA.

This domestic activity of the

CIA unlike other recently revealed operations, is completely within the legal powers delegated to the agency.

However, the agency has not responded to a Patriot inquiry to discuss the extent of this phase of their domestic activities.

Contrary to what might be expected, scientists who have cooperated with the CIA have political opinions that cover the political spectrum.

While the more liberal scientists have had qualms about cooperating because of the agency's other activities, some said they believe that they should cooperate when the agency has legitimate interests.

Other scientists have Jusuggested that reporting on their evaluations of fellow scientists to an intelligence agency violates. principles of scientific cooperation. According to this view, the people who later answered the CIA's questions should have at least made their foreign colleagues aware of their intentions.

CIA4US-Rochester, N.Y.
C1194.01 Doinestic Spying